

## FORECASTING OF EARTHQUAKE USING RECURRENT NEURAL NETWORK

### Introduction

- > Earthquake forecasting involves methods that provide information about the location, time and magnitude of the occurrence of the event.
- > Though statistical models, like Gutenberg-Richter relationship, are based on the distribution of earthquake magnitude in the space-time domain describe the frequencies of occurrence of the earthquake events, there is an element inherent uncertainty associated with the forecasts. Hence
- > In the present study the occurrence or non-occurrence of an earthquake event of a certain magnitude of fixed time interval.

#### Dataset

> The USGS, IMD catalogs and the catalog at EERC were used in the study. The dataset consists of 8683 seismic events for a time duration of from 01-01-1818 to 31-12-2017. The parameters considered are location in form of latitude and longitude, magnitude and the time of occurrence.

Number of Events	Magnitude
86	<3 M <sub>w</sub>
2303	$3-4 M_w$
4110	$4 - 5 M_{w}$
1854	$5 - 6 M_{w}$
285	$6 - 7 M_{w}$
42	7 – 8 M <sub>w</sub>
5	> 8 M <sub>w</sub>

Table 2: Earthquake magnitude categories.

Group	Range		
Minor Magnitude	$\leq$ 4 M <sub>w</sub>		
Moderate Magnitude	$4 M_w - 6 M_w$		
Strong Magnitude	> 6 M <sub>w</sub>		

Table 3: Number of events in each category

Number of Events	Category		
2389	Minor Magnitude		
F064	Moderate		
5904	Magnitude		
332	Strong Magnitude		

### **Methodology**

- seismicity of India.
- next 15 days, 30 days, 60 days, 90 days and 180 days.
- > In "Approach 2", the data in each cluster has been further days and 180 days.
- 10% respectively.

Swapnil Nayan, Aniket Bhalkikar, Bharat Prakke, Pradeep Kumar Ramancharla

# R&D SH WCASE 2021

**Technology, Social Impact** 



Figure 1 : Clusters of Indian seismicity

K-means clustering algorithm is applied on the epicenters of the data and the optimum clusters obtained are five. The clustered data rightly represents the division of the

 $\succ$  Two approaches are explored in the study. In "Approach 1", Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) has been used to the data of the each of the clsuters and the maximum magnitude of the earthquake that are likely to occur in the

classified into minor, moderate and strong magnitudes. Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) has been used to the data to predict the earthquake of each classification that are likely to occur in the next 15 days, 30 days, 60 days, 90

> The training and the test data has been divided as 90% and

Table 4: Details of each cluster

Clusters	Number of Events	Latitude Range	Longitude Range	
Cluster 1	617	05.80 <sup>0</sup> N to 26.03 <sup>0</sup> N	68.07 <sup>0</sup> E to 84.03 <sup>0</sup> E	
Cluster 2	1638	19.00 <sup>0</sup> N to 37.80 <sup>0</sup> N	80.10 <sup>0</sup> E to 95.70 <sup>0</sup> E	
Cluster 3	845	04.01 <sup>0</sup> N to 17.47 <sup>0</sup> N	79.05 <sup>0</sup> E to 97.30 <sup>0</sup> E	
Cluster 4	4414	17.62 <sup>0</sup> N to 34.38 <sup>0</sup> N	86.28 <sup>0</sup> E to 97.99 <sup>0</sup> E	
Cluster 5	1172	25.85 <sup>0</sup> N to 37.60 <sup>0</sup> N	68.01 <sup>0</sup> E to 84.42 <sup>0</sup> E	

### **Results**

Table 5: Approach 1 Prediction accuracy in each cluster.

Clustore	Time Period					
Clusters	15 Days	30 Days	60 Days	90 Days	180 Days	
Cluster 1	84.04	76.06	64.79	56.34	45.07	
Cluster 2	56.25	62.92	75.83	82.50	22.50	
Cluster 3	51.21	33.33	31.73	31.88	17.14	
Cluster 4	60.77	73.48	82.42	75.41	61.29	
Cluster 5	43.01	40.32	49.46	66.13	58.06	

Table 6: Approach 2 Prediction accuracy in each cluster.

Chusters	Magnitude	Time Period				
Clusters	Class	15 Days	30 Days	60 Days	90 Days	180 Days
Cluster 1	Minor	100	100	100	100	100
	Moderate	85.98	76.15	61.67	48.75	37.5
	Strong	98.92	98.08	96.15	94.96	92.86
Cluster 2	Minor	100	63.75	100	100	100
	Moderate	58.66	61.90	79.31	89.61	NA
	Strong	97.11	94.23	89.42	84.43	74.29
Cluster 3	Minor	100	100	100	100	100
	Moderate	52.17	32.85	83.65	15.94	94.29
	Strong	95.65	91.30	84.06	76.09	65.22
Cluster 4	Minor	100	100	100	100	NA
	Moderate	67.13	80.11	94.51	95.08	100
	Strong	94.90	90.48	82.43	75.51	56
Cluster 5	Minor	100	100	100	NA	23.33
	Moderate	44.62	23.12	92.47	95.16	100
	Strong	92.82	85.71	77.36	71.43	55.56

### **Conclusions**

 $\succ$  The overall results in the approach 2 shows better results over approach 1. Exploring in the direction of features that represent earthquake phenomenon will yield results.

### Earthquake Engineering Research Centre





