

Hazard, Vulnerability and Risk Assessment of Himachal Pradesh **OBJECTIVE NON-LINEAR STATIC ANALYSIS**

- •Estimate the damage of different typologies
 - > Develop fragility curves for different typologies
 - \succ Quantify the RVS scores of building with the associated damage states

CASE STUDY

- •RVS is performed for 9100 buildings in 10 districts of Himachal Pradesh ► No. of brick masonry buildings: 4363

 - \geq No. of reinforced concrete buildings: 1!
 - ► No. of hybrid buildings: 1318
 - ► No. of stone masonry buildings: 1341
 - \geq No. of rammed earth buildings: 518

•Out of 45 buildings are screened based on RVS score for phase II survey, 15 buildings are selected on the basis of RVS score (low, medium and high), number of storey, geometry and building construction practices for detailed analysis



Normal distribution of the sample data according to typology of buildings



 $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e$

Location of buildings surveyed in Himachal Pradesh

CONCLUSIONS

1.Damage is quantified at every displacement level and normalized to 1. It means when D=0 then building will not experience any damage and if D=1 means it is complete collapse. The damage states of the building are defined as no damage (D<0.2), slight damage (D<0.4), Moderate damage (D<0.6), severe damage (D<0.8) and complete collapse (D>0.8). 2. These damage values are correlated with RVS scores. More number of brick masonry buildings is susceptible to slight damage to moderate damage. Few brick masonry buildings are suscentible to collanse during earthquakes

Rajaram Chenna, Ajay Kumar, Pradeep Kumar Ramancharla





Technology, Social Impact

- •The target displacement for pushover analysis is based on either of the two criteria ≻50% of the maximum strength
 - >Drift limit exceed the value prescribed in code
- •The stiffness of the building is reduced when the yield starts or the first spring fails. The spring fails when the principle stress exceeds the limiting value.



Base shear vs. roof displacement of RC frame

Percentage of Damage to buildings in seismic zone IV

| Building Type | No damage | Slight Damage | Moderate Damage | Severe Damage | Collapse |
|------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------|
| Reinforced Concrete | 1.7 | 72.9 | 17.2 | 8.0 | 0.16 |
| Brick Masonry | 0 | 79 | 11.2 | 8.8 | 1 |
| Stone Masonry | 25 | 7.7 | 15.6 | 0 | 51.7 |
| Rammed Earth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| Hybrid | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | |

$$\frac{x-\mu}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$PS = (BS) \pm \sum [(VSM) x (VS)]$$

Earthquake Engineering Research Centre

R&D SH WCASE 2021

Generalized state of damage for RC and Brick masonry buildings w.r.t. RVS scores



State of damage of RC buildings in HP